# Genomic Selection in Western Redcedar Breeding Program in BC: From Proof of Concept to Application

Omnia Gamal EL-Dien<sup>1</sup>, Tal J. Shalev<sup>1</sup>, Lise van der Merwe<sup>2</sup>, Carol Ritland<sup>1</sup>, Matias Kirst<sup>3</sup>, Alvin D. Yanchuk<sup>2</sup>, John H. Russell<sup>2</sup>, Jörg Bohlmann<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Michael Smith Laboratory, <sup>2</sup>MFLNRORD,<sup>3</sup>U of Florida

## **Objective**

Deliver elite selections to industry seed orchards decades ahead of traditional breeding methods using GS.

### WRC Improvement Program in **British Columbia**

- **Growth** (selection age 7-year-old)
- Heartwood durability: Phenotyping for durability related extractives heartwood (selection age **25-year-old**)
- Deer browsing resistance: Phenotyping resistance related foliar extractives for (selection age 1-year-old)

# **Project Description**

#### **FITTING** models

#### **Training population (PX progeny trial, 21 males)**

- 45 Parents trees
- 1,520 trees (26 PX: polycross families)
- 3 sites
- Phenotyped (3 traits) + genotypes

#### **VALIDATING** models

A. Training population (cross-validation)

All traits

B. Target population (independent-validation) **Foliar extractives** 

### **PREDICTING** growth & heartwood traits

#### **Target population (1-year-old)**

- 3,000 seedlings (168 FS families)
- Phenotyped (foliar extractives) + genotypes
  - Each seedling in the target population is sharing one or both parents with the training population

CEDAR

**45K** filtered SNPs (genic and non-genic)



# **1. Pedigree Reconstruction Using Genomic Relationship Matrix**

- To convert the training population (N=1520) from PX to FS (Full-Sib) by identifying male parent
- Results revealed unequal contribution for the 21 males (Range: 7-187 offspring/male, mean = 68)
- We identified **438** unbalanced small FS families (Range: 1-15 offspring/FS family, mean= 3.3)

# 2. Compare Traditional PX-pedigree Analysis (ABLUP) to Genomic (GBLUP) in Training Population

Genomic analysis resulted in:

- Increasing the selection intensity for backward selection (selection from parents), as now we have the male breeding values too.
- Increasing breeding values accuracy by up to 22% and expected genetic gain by up to 35% for **forward** selection (selection from offspring).

# 3. GS Prediction Model (GBLUP) Validation in Training Population

#### A. Random 10% cross-validation (pooled sites)

90% of the data were used to fit the model and 10 % to test the model

Trait	Height	Heartwood extractives	Foliar extractives
Prediction Accuracy (SE)	0.85 (0.001)	0.80 (0.002)	0.83 (0.001)
Heritability (SE)	0.13 (0.04)	0.20 (0.05)	0.25 (0.05)

# **B.** Across-sites, -generations, and -ages cross validation

**Small decrease** in prediction accuracy

# 4. GS Prediction Model (GBLUP) Validation in Target Population



\*Prediction Accuracy (PA): Correlation between measured and predicted phenotype for validation population



Target (N  $\approx$  3,000 seedlings) 1-year-old **168 Full-Sib families** 

Sharing **BOTH** parents **PA=0.72** 

Sharing **ONE** parent **PA=0.57** 







3. Optimal selection for best 100 individuals for seed orchard and field testing

# Conclusions

1. Training population  $\rightarrow$  In the presence of measured phenotypes from an old progeny trial, genomic analysis resulted in increasing **BV** accuracy and genetic gain. In our project we overcome the limitation of **PX** mating design, which is only designed for **backward** selection from the female parents, but now we can select from the dad's parents too, and due to the significant increase in offspring BV accuracy we used it for **forward** selection, which was not possible in traditional pedigree analysis.

2. Target population  $\rightarrow$  Predicting BV at the seedling phase for early selection to eliminate the time required for progeny trials. In our project this advantage coupled with the western redcedar fact that seedlings can be younger than one year induced, reproductively reduced the breeding cycle from 25 to only 2 years, which increases the expected gain.

# Acknowledgement

- Dr. Inanc Birol - Mack Yuen - Dr. Rod Stirling



### **GS** application

1. Predicting breeding values (BVs) for growth and wood resistance traits for the target seedling population

2. Multi-trait selection (Selection index: GROWTH, WOOD, foliar)

#### As a general conclusion, GS (molecular breeding), has two main advantages:

- Dr. Jeremy Schmutz
- Dr. Jesse Breinholt
- Dr. Leandro Neves