

# FAIR DH data need ontology standards

Ludger Jansen

University of Rostock

[ludger.jansen@uni-rostock.de](mailto:ludger.jansen@uni-rostock.de)

# FAIR Principles

- Findable
- Accessible
- Interoperable
- Reusable

# Spell out the rules ...

- **Findable**  
The first step in (re)using data is to find them. Metadata and data should be easy to find for both humans and computers. Machine-readable metadata are essential for automatic discovery of datasets and services, so this is an essential component of the FAIRification process.
- [F1. \(Meta\)data are assigned a globally unique and persistent identifier](#)
- [F2. Data are described with rich metadata \(defined by R1 below\)](#)
- [F3. Metadata clearly and explicitly include the identifier of the data they describe](#)
- [F4. \(Meta\)data are registered or indexed in a searchable resource](#)
- **Accessible**  
Once the user finds the required data, she/he needs to know how can they be accessed, possibly including authentication and authorisation.
- [A1. \(Meta\)data are retrievable by their identifier using a standardised communications protocol](#)
- [A1.1 The protocol is open, free, and universally implementable](#)
- [A1.2 The protocol allows for an authentication and authorisation procedure, where necessary](#)
- [A2. Metadata are accessible, even when the data are no longer available](#)
- **Interoperable**  
The data usually need to be integrated with other data. In addition, the data need to interoperate with applications or workflows for analysis, storage, and processing.
- [I1. \(Meta\)data use a formal, accessible, shared, and broadly applicable language for knowledge representation.](#)
- [I2. \(Meta\)data use vocabularies that follow FAIR principles](#)
- [I3. \(Meta\)data include qualified references to other \(meta\)data](#)
- **Reusable**  
The ultimate goal of FAIR is to optimise the reuse of data. To achieve this, metadata and data should be well-described so that they can be replicated and/or combined in different settings.
- [R1. Meta\(data\) are richly described with a plurality of accurate and relevant attributes](#)
- [R1.1. \(Meta\)data are released with a clear and accessible data usage license](#)
- [R1.2. \(Meta\)data are associated with detailed provenance](#)
- [R1.3. \(Meta\)data meet domain-relevant community standards](#)

# ... or capture the spirit

- Data need persistent storage
  - Researchers need rights and permissions to use data
  - Researchers need to understand the data
  - Data should allow for interoperability
- IT
  - Licences
  - **Ontologies**
  - Ontologies**

# What are ontologies good for?

- Organisation of massive amounts of data
- Integration of data from diverse sources
- Coping with a highly complex domain
- Support for automatic reasoning
- Increase of searchability

# Not all ontologies are equal

## Reference ontologies

- Generic top-level ontologies OR taylorred for a certain domain
- Benchmarked by reality
- Community standards

## Application ontologies

- Taylored for a certain application
- Often not semantically adequate: „it works“ > „it is true“
- Idiosyncratic

Only reference ontologies solve the data silo problem and sustain interoperability!

- Shared community-based standards
- Strict user-understandable semantics
- Formal computer-processable characterisation

# What are reference ontologies good for?

- Organisation of massive amounts of data
- Integration of data from diverse sources
- Coping with a highly complex domain
- Support for automatic reasoning
- Increase of searchability
- By providing a rigid data semantics
  - shared across domains and applications
  - allowing for interoperability

# Ontologies in DH: The beginnings

- “Big” standards:
  - CIDOC Conceptual Reference Model (CIDOC CRM; ISO 21127)
  - RDA Resource Description and Access (Lazarinis 2015)
- Small domain ontologies (Philosophy):
  - InPhO Indiana Philosophy Ontology (Buckner, Niepert & Allen 2010)
  - PhilOnto (Grenon & Smith 2011)



# Ontologies in DH textbooks

- No mention: Kurz 2015
- Mention in passing only: Fiormonte, Numerico & Tomasi 2015, 154.
- Dealt with, but not up to current standards from the lifescience perspective: Rehbein et al. 2017, 162-178.

# Ontologies in the Life Sciences

- Open Biological and Biomedical Ontologies (OBO) Foundry
  - [www.obofoundry.org](http://www.obofoundry.org)
  - Repository for ontologies
  - Community tools for developers
  - Peer review
  - Gold practise rules
- Top-domain ontology BioTop
- Good Ontology Design (GoodOD)

[About](#)[Principles](#)[Ontologies](#)[Citation](#)[Participate](#)[FAQ](#)[Legacy](#)

# The OBO Foundry

The Open Biological and Biomedical Ontology (OBO) Foundry is a collective of ontology developers that are committed to collaboration and adherence to shared principles. The mission of the OBO Foundry is to develop a family of interoperable ontologies that are both logically well-formed and scientifically accurate. To achieve this, OBO Foundry participants voluntarily adhere to and contribute to the development of an evolving set of principles including [open use](#), [collaborative development](#), [non-overlapping and strictly-scoped content](#), and common [syntax](#) and [relations](#), based on ontology models that work well, such as the Gene Ontology (GO).

The OBO Foundry is overseen by an Operations Committee with [Editorial](#), [Technical](#) and [Outreach](#) working groups. The processes of the Editorial working group are modelled on the journal refereeing process. A complete treatment of the OBO Foundry is given in "The OBO Foundry: coordinated evolution of ontologies to support biomedical data integration".

On this site you will find a table of ontologies, available in several formats, with details for each, and documentation on [OBO Principles](#).

You can contribute to this site using GitHub [OBOFoundry/OBOFoundry.github.io](#) or get in touch with us at [obo-discuss@sourceforge.net](mailto:obo-discuss@sourceforge.net).

Download table as: [ [YAML](#) | [JSON-LD](#) | [RDF/Turtle](#) ]

<a href="#">bfo</a>	Basic Formal Ontology 	The upper level ontology upon which OBO Foundry ontologies are built. <a href="#">Detail</a>							
<a href="#">chebi</a>	Chemical Entities of Biological Interest 	A structured classification of molecular entities of biological interest focusing on 'small' chemical compounds. <a href="#">Detail</a>							
<a href="#">doid</a>	Human Disease Ontology 	An ontology for describing the classification of human diseases organized by etiology. <a href="#">Detail</a>							
<a href="#">go</a>	Gene Ontology 	An ontology for describing the function of genes and gene products <a href="#">Detail</a>							
<a href="#">obi</a>	Ontology for Biomedical Investigations 	An integrated ontology for the description of life-science and clinical investigations <a href="#">Detail</a>							

# Gold practise rules

- Community-based development
- Rigorous semantics
- Orthogonality
- Hierarchical organisation
- Common top-level ontology (BFO = Basic Formal Ontology)
- Common set of formal relations (RO = Relation Ontology)

# Towards Application: How to Re-Use

- Find ontologies
  - Repositories like the OBO Foundry
  - Ontology Lookup Service (OLS; maintained by EBI)
  - OntoBee
- Find terms
  - Ontology Lookup Service
  - OntoBee
- Integrate terms:
  - MIREOT: the Minimum Information to Reference an External Ontology Term
  - OntoFox

# The Future for DH Ontologies?

- Open Platform for Socio-Cultural Ontologies
- Mid-level ontologies for the socio-cultural domain („SocioTop“)
- Integration of existing standards