

# Electro-Fenton process. Influence of the electrode materials

Fetah I. PODVORICA University of Prishtina, Kosovo



- Fenton process
- Electro-Fenton Process
- Applications
- Impact of electrode materials:
  - **Anodes and Cathodes**
- Conclusion

# Wastewater treatment process

Toxic and persistent organic pollutants (POPs) are removed BUT not eliminated by conventional processes:

- Microbiological
- Physical (decantation, filtration, adsorption)
- Physicochemical (coagulation, flocculation)
- Chemical (chemical oxidation)

Only the use of very strong oxidizing agents permits the degradation of POPs



oxidant	reduction reaction	$E^{\circ}/V$ vs SHE
fluorine	$F_{2(g)} + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow 2HF$	3.05
hydroxyl radical	$^{\bullet}\mathrm{OH} + \mathrm{H}^{+} + \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}$	2.80
sulfate radical anion	$SO_4^{} + e^- \rightarrow SO_4^{2}$	2.60
ozone	$O_{3(g)} + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow O_{2(g)} + H_2O$	2.075
peroxodisulfate ion	$S_2O_8^{2-} + 2e^- \rightarrow 2SO_4^{2-}$	2.01
hydrogen peroxide	$H_2O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O$	1.763
hydroperoxyl ion (I)	$HO_2' + 3H^+ + 3e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O$	1.65
hydroperoxyl ion (II)	$HO_2' + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2O_2$	1.44
chlorine	$Cl_{2(g)} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow 2Cl^{-}$	1.358
oxygen	$O_{2(g)} + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O$	1.229

Table . Standard Reduction Potentials in Aqueous Medium of the Most Commonly Reported Oxidizing Agents for the Destruction of Organic Pollutants

Bard, A. J.; Parsons, R.; Jordan, J. *Standard Potentials in Aqueous Solutions*; Marcel Dekker Inc.: New York, 1985 Sharma, V. K. *AdV. EnViron. Res.* **2002**, *6*, 143.

# Fenton process

 $Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH + OH$   $Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 + H^+ \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH + H_2O$  $k = 63 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

	<b><u>Reactions of hydroxyl radicals</u></b>	
Abstraction	$\mathbf{RH} + \mathbf{OH} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{H}_2\mathbf{O}$	$k = 10^7 - 10^9 dm^3 mol^{-1} s^{-1}$
Addition	ArH + 'OH → ArHOH'	$k = 10^8 - 10^{10} dm^3 mol^{-1} s^{-1}$
	$ArHOH' + O_2 \rightarrow ArOH + HO_2'$	
Electron transfer	$Fe^{2+} + OH \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH$	
	$\mathbf{RH} + \mathbf{OH} \rightarrow \mathbf{RH}^{\mathbf{+}} + \mathbf{OH}^{\mathbf{-}}$	
Ipso attack	$CCl_4$ + 'OH → $CCl_4$ ('OH) $CCl_4$ ('OH) → $CCl_3OH$ + $Cl$ '	

Fenton, H. J. H. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1894**, *65*, 899. Brillas, E.; Sires, N.; Oturan, M.A., Chem. Rev. **2009**, 109,6570-6631. Mousset, E.; Oturan, N.; Oturan, M.A., App Cat. B: Env. **2018**, 226,135–146

# **Regeneration of iron catalyst**

**Fenton-like reaction**  $Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + HO_2^{\bullet} + H^+$  $k = 2x10^{-3} dm^3 mol^{-1} s^{-1}$  $Fe^3 + HO_2^{\bullet} \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + O_2 + H^+$  $Fe^{3+} + R^{\bullet} \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + R^{+}$  $Fe^{3+} + O_2^{-} \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + O_2$ **Parasitic reactions**  $Fe^{2+} + OH \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH$  $k = 3.2 \times 10^8 \text{ dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  $H_2O_2 + OH \rightarrow H_2O + HO_2$  $k = 2.7 \times 10^7 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  $\mathbf{R}^{\bullet} + \mathbf{F}\mathbf{e}^{2+} + \mathbf{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{F}\mathbf{e}^{3+}$  $k = 7.1 \times 10^9 L mol^{-1} s^{-1}$  $HO_2$  +  $OH \rightarrow H_2O + O_2$  $k = 6.0 \times 10^9 L mol^{-1} s^{-1}$  $OH + OH \rightarrow H_2O_2$ 

Brillas, E.; Sires, N.; Oturan, M.A., Chem. Rev. **2009**, 109,6570-6631.

## **Operation mode for the Fenton process**

The procedure requires:

- adjusting the wastewater to pH 3-4;
- adding the iron catalyst (as a solution of FeSO<sub>4</sub>);
- adding slowly the  $H_2O_2$ . If the pH is too high, the iron precipitates as  $Fe(OH)_3$  and catalytically decomposes the  $H_2O_2$  to oxygen



Pourbaix diagramme for Iron

# Impact of [H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>]/[Fe<sup>2+</sup>]ratio in the Fenton process



TOC removal as function of time during chemical degradation of diuron aqueous solution

[**Diuron**] = 0.17 mM

M.A. Oturan, F. I. Podvorica, N. Oturan, M. C. Edelahi, K. El Kacemi, Chemical Engineering Journal, 2011, 171, 127.

# Fenton process

Advantages:

- Simple and easy operation.
- No energy consumption.

**Disadvantages :** 

- Relatively high cost and risks related to the transport and storage of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.
- High amounts of chemicals to acidify the effluents at favourable pH for the Fenton reaction and neutralise the effluent after the treatment.
- High iron sludge quantities at the end of treatment.
- The complete mineralisation is not attained because of the formation of some iron (III) complexes with carboxylic acids that cannot be destroyed by bulk hydroxyl radicals.

# **Electrochemical advanced oxidation processes (EAOPs)**

The main reagent in EAOPs is electrical current and these technologies do not use harmful chemicals to produce strong oxidants.

EAOPs include heterogeneous processes like :

anodic oxidation where the pollutant is oxidized on the electrode surface or 'OH are generated there and homogeneous processes like:

**Electro-Fenton in which the 'OH are produced in solution** 

# **Anodic oxidation**

		various anodes materials used in AO
pollutant	Anode material	Potential for O <sub>2</sub> evolution (V/SHE)
Carbon dioxide	RuO <sub>2</sub>	1.4–1.7
	IrO <sub>2</sub>	1.5–1.8
pollutant	Pt	1.6–1.9
	Graphite	1.7
	Ebonex <sup>®</sup> (Ti <sub>4</sub> O <sub>7</sub> )	1.7–1.8
	PbO <sub>2</sub>	1.8-2.0
Oxidant precursor	SnO <sub>2</sub>	1.9–2.2
	BDD	2.2-2.6
	$2\Pi_2 \cup \neg \cup_2 +$	4 <b>n</b> + 4e
$M + H_2O \rightarrow M(\bullet OH) + H^+ + e^-$	$2\mathbf{Cl}^{-} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cl}_{2} + 2\mathbf{e}^{-}$	
$M + H_2O \rightarrow M(^{\bullet}OH) + H^+ + e^-$ $2M(^{\bullet}OH) \rightarrow 2M + H_2O_2$	$2\text{Cl}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{2} + 2\text{e}^{-}$ $\text{Cl}_{2} + \text{H}_{2}\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HClO} + $	<b>Cl<sup>-</sup> + H</b> <sup>+</sup>

Brillas et al. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 202 (2017) 217–261



 $O_2 + 2e^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow H_2O_2$ 

E. Brillas, I. Sires, M.A. Oturan, Chem. Rev. 109 (2009) 6570–6631.

M.A. Rodrigo, N. Oturan, M.A. Oturan, Chem. Rev. 114 (2014) 8720-8745.

E. Brillas, J.C. Calpe, J. Casado, Water Res. 34 (2000) 2253–2262.

I. Sires, Brilas, M.A. Oturan, M. Rodrigo, M. Panizza, Env. Sci. And Pollution Res. 21 (2014), 8336-8367 Oturan et al., Chemosphere 197 (2018) 210e227

# **Electro-Fenton process**

## **Electrocatalytic production of 'OH radicals**



# Cathodes with high overvoltage for HER Low activity for H2O2 decomposition

## **Gaz Diffusion Electrode**

**Carbon-PTFE air-diffusion cathode** 

Carbon felt Carbon fibers CNT Metals (Ni, SS )

Oturan et al., J. of Electroanal. Chem. 2001, 507, 96

# **Electro-Fenton process**

**Degradation vs mineralization of POPs** 



Mineralization is the conversion of organic pollutant to carbon dioxide, water and other inorganic minerals by oxidation of its molecule until the ultimate oxidation degree

## Different kinds of electrochemical cells used in Electro-Fenton treatments of organics from wastewaters



#### **Undivided cells**

E. Brillas, I. Sires, M.A. Oturan

Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 6570–6636

# **Electro-Fenton process**

**Side reactions** 



Main side reactions

- Hydrogen Evolution Reaction
- Hydrogen peroxide reduction into water

Cathodes with high HER H2O2 is oxidized on the Anode H2O2 decomposition if T is increased

# **Parameters that influence Electro-Fenton process**

Solution pH, catalyst concentration, oxygen or air feed rate, applied current, electrode material and temperature

# **Effect of current intensity**



M.A. Oturan, F. I. Podvorica, N. Oturan, M. C. Edelahi, K. El Kacemi, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2011, 171, 127.

# **Electro-Fenton process**

Follow up of degradation/mineralization

## **HPLC-MS:** Concentration decay of POPs and aromatic intermediaries

Ion exclusion chromatography: Carboxylic acid evolution

**Ionic chromatography: Liberated mineral ions or heteroatoms** 

**TOC/COD:** Mineralization efficiency

**Microtox: Toxicity of pollutants and their intermediate products** 

## **Diuron degradation-mineralization mechanism**



M.A. Oturan, F. I. Podvorica, N. Oturan, M. C. Edelahi, K. El Kacemi, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2011, 171, 127.

# **Heterogeneous Fenton**



One can treat real industrial effluents/wastewater, which usually have different pH depending on the origin

Avoid the use Fe ions in the solution

Oturan et al. Chemical Engineering Journal 350 (2018) 844–855

# **Photo Electro-Fenton and Solar Photo Electro-Fenton**

 $[Fe(OH)]^{2+} + h\nu \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + OH$ 

The quantum yield for this reaction was found to be 0.14-0.19 at 313 nm



 $Fe(OOCR)^{2+} + hv \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + CO_2 + R^{\bullet}$ 

Fe and carboxylic acid complexes useful for the regeneration of  $Fe^{2+}$   $2 Fe(C_2O_4)_n^{(3-2n)} + hv \rightarrow 2 Fe^{2+} + (2n-1)C_2O_4^{2-} + 2 CO_2$  $H_2O_2 + hv \rightarrow 2^{\bullet}OH$ 

 $H_2O_2 + hv \rightarrow H^{\bullet} + HO_2^{\bullet}$ 

S. Garcia-Segura, L.C. Almeida, N. Bocchi, E. Brillas, J. Hazard. Mater. 194(2011) 109–118.

Pollutant/Effluent	Electrodes	Remarks	Removal efficiency
PC			
Chlorinated herbicides	SS anode/RVC cathode	200 mL of alachlor (175 mg L <sup>-1</sup> COD), atrazine (68 mg L <sup>-1</sup> COD) or chlorbromuron (47 mg L <sup>-1</sup> COD) in 0.05 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , pH = 2.0, $E_{ctll} = 2.5$ V, 75 min.	93%-96% COD
Textile wastewater	Fe anode/graphite felt	300 mL of wastewater (1310 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	59% color
	cathode	COD, pH = 6.5), cylindrical reactor, air flow rate = $2 \text{ Lmin}^{-1}$ , $l = 200 \text{ mA}$ , 120 min.	71% COD
PEF			
Naproxen	GDE cathode (20 cm <sup>2</sup> area) /Anodes: BDD, Pt, IrO <sub>2</sub> and RuO <sub>2</sub> (20 cm <sup>2</sup> )	2.5 L of 40 mg L <sup>-1</sup> drug solution in 0.05 M NaClO <sub>4</sub> , pH = 3.0, flow cell, 0.50 mM Fe <sup>2+</sup> , 160 W UVA, j = 50 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 120 min.	TOC removal: 83% BDD; 80% Pt; 78% IrO <sub>2</sub> ; 76% RuO <sub>2</sub>
Methylparaben	GDE cathode (3 cm <sup>2</sup> area)/Anodes tested: BDD, Pt, IrO <sub>2</sub> and RuO <sub>2</sub> (3 cm <sup>2</sup> )	100 mL of 158 mg L <sup>-1</sup> in 0.025 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 0.035 M NaCl, pH = 3.0, stirred tank reactor, 0.50 mM Fe <sup>2+</sup> , 6 W UVA, $j = 66.7$ mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 360 min.	TOC rem <i>o</i> val: 89% BDD; 43% Pt; 1.9% IrO <sub>2</sub> ; 43% RuO <sub>2</sub>
SPEF			
Dyes mixture	BDD/GDE cathode	2.5 L of 100 mg L <sup>-2</sup> DOC of food dyes, 0.05 M and 0.50 mM Fe <sup>2+</sup> at pH 3.0 using the flow plant at <i>j</i> = 100 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 35 °C and liquid flow rate of 200 L h <sup>-1</sup> , 300 min.	96%-97% DOC 85% DOC
Triclosan	Ti/Pt anode/graphite-felt cathode (64 cm <sup>2</sup> area)	10 L of 0.225 mM drug in 0.05 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , pH = 3.0, FM01-LC reactor coupled to solar CPC photoreactor, 0.50 mM Fe <sup>2+</sup> , liquid flow rate = 180 L h <sup>-1</sup> , $E_{cat}$ = -0.35 V/SHE, 300 min.	78% TOC
Heterogeneous-EF			
Winery wastewater	BDD/Ni-foam	undivided cylindrical glass reactor; 150 mL solution in 0.01M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ; 15 V constant voltage; 1L min <sup>-1</sup> air flow; 8.7, 14.25 and 3g of Fe-AB, Mn-AB and Fe-AC.	COD removal: 56% Fe-AB, 54% Mn-AB, 82% Fe-AC
	Catalyts (Mn-AB, Fe-AB, and Fe-AC)		
Imidapricloprid and chlorpyrifos	BDD/graphite	undivided reactor of 150 mL; 5 V voltage; air flow rate of 1 L min <sup>-1</sup> ; 50 – 700 mg L <sup>-1</sup> Fe-Y zeolite; pH 3 during 120 min; reusability enhanced embedding the Fe-Y zeolite.	98% TOC
	Catalyst (Fe-Y zeolite/ Alginate)		

AB = alginate beads, AC = activated carbon, SS = stainless steel, RVC = reticulated vitreous carbon, UVA = long-wavelength ultraviolet, COD = chemical demand, TOC = total organic carbon, DOC = dissolved organic carbon and CPC = compound parabolic concentrator.

Carlos et al. 2018

# **Effect of electrode material on the EF and AO:**

## Impact of anodes: Pt, DSA, BDD, GF

Cell Operation conditions: galvanostatic



The sulfamethazine (SMT), one of the most commonly used antibiotics from the 'sulphonamides' family, was selected as model pollutant because of its occurrence in natural water stream

F. Sopaj, N. Oturan, J. Pinson, F. Podvorica, M. A. Oturan. Appl. Cat. B: Env., 2016, 199, 331-341.



[SMT] = 0.2 mM pH = 3 [Na<sub>2</sub>SO4] = 50 mM Vs = 300 mL

#### **Side reactions**

High density currents:

 $2\mathbf{H}^{+} + 2\mathbf{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{2}$  $\mathbf{O}_{2} + 4\mathbf{H}^{+} + 4\mathbf{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O}$ 

 $2H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^ 2Pt('OH) \rightarrow 2Pt + O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$ 

Oxidation by homogeneous 'OH > efficient than heterogeneous 'OH

Comninellis et al. . J. Electrochem. Soc. 2000, 147, 2614.

Anode of DSA (Ti/RuO2/IrO2)



DSA('OH) are more strongly adsorbed on the anode surface than Pt('OH) and consequently less available for oxidation of SMT

Oxidation by homogeneous 'OH > efficient than heterogeneous 'OH



#### Side reactions

Higher density currents:

 $2H^{+} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow H_{2} \qquad 2H_{2}O \rightarrow O_{2} + 4H^{+} + 4e^{-}$  $O_{2} + 4H^{+} + 4e^{-} \rightarrow 2H_{2}O \qquad 2BDD(^{\bullet}OH) \rightarrow 2BDD + H_{2}O_{2}$ 

#### Oxidation by homogeneous 'OH > efficient than heterogeneous 'OH



#### Anode of GF

Cathode: GF [SMT] = 0.2 mM pH = 3 [Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>] = 50 mM Vs = 300 mL

## Calculation of apparent rate constants for oxidation of SMT with 'OH



## Optimal current density 12.50 and 16.66 mA/cm2 for EF

#### Table 1

Apparent rate constants ( $k_{app}$  in min<sup>-1</sup>) as a function of the anode material and the current density for electro-Fenton process with graphite felt cathode.

Anode		k <sub>app</sub> (min-	$k_{app}$ (min <sup>-1</sup> )		
$I(mA cm^{-2})$	Pt	DSA	BDD	GF	
2.08	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.22	
4.16	0.15	0.09	0.12	0.31	
8.32	0.19	0.14	0.18	0.37	
12.50	0.27	0.20	0.24	0.44	
16.66	0.37	0.27	0.27	0.43	
20.83	0.40	0.27	0.25	0.43	

#### Table 2

Apparent rate constants as a function of the anode material and the current density for the anodic oxidation process. The cathode is graphite felt.

Cell	Pt	DSA	BDD	C F
I (mA)		kapp (min <sup>-1</sup> )		
100	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.22
500	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.29

#### Calculation of absolute rate constant for oxidation of SMT with 'OH



Pt/Carbon felt,  $V_s = 300 \text{ mL}$ ,  $[Fe^{2+}] = 0.2 \text{ mM}$ ,

 $[Na_2SO_4] = 50 \text{ mM}, I = 50 \text{ mA}, pH = 3.$ 



 $k_{\rm pHBA} = 2.19 \text{ x } 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

#### Effect of anode material on the efficiency of EF process for the degradation/mineralization of SMT

Overall reaction  $C_{12}H_{14}N_4O_2S + 38H_2O \rightarrow 12CO_2 + 4NO_3^- + SO_4^{2-} + 90H^+ + 84e^-$ 



 $O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O$   $2Pt(^{\bullet}OH) \rightarrow 2Pt + O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$ 

#### Effect of anode material on the efficiency of EF process for the degradation/mineralization of SMT







The OER is the prevailing process leading to low degradation efficiencies and loss of electrical energy.

#### Effect of anode material on the efficiency of EF process for the degradation/mineralization of SMT



#### **BDD** anode

Very efficient electrode  $BDD + H_2O \rightarrow BDD(HO^{\bullet}) + H^+ + e^ BDD(HO^{\bullet}) + R \rightarrow BDD + ROH^{\bullet} (or R^{\bullet} + H_2O)$   $2BDD(^{\bullet}OH) \rightarrow 2BDD + H_2O_2$  $BDD(HO^{\bullet}) \rightarrow BDD + \frac{1}{2}O_2 + H^+ + e^-$ 

## Soft oxidants

 $^{\circ}OH+ Cl^{\circ} \rightarrow ClO^{\circ} + H^{+} + e^{\circ}$  $O_{2} + 2 \cdot OH \rightarrow O_{3} + H_{2}O$  $^{\circ}OH + HSO_{4}^{\circ} \rightarrow SO_{4}^{\circ} + H_{2}O$  $SO_{4}^{\circ} + SO_{4}^{\circ} \rightarrow S_{2}O_{8}^{2\circ}$ 



Cathode/Anode: GF

[SMT] = 0.2 mM pH = 3 [Na<sub>2</sub>SO4] = 50 mM Vs = 300 mL

#### Table. 3

TOC removal percentages during electro-Fenton treatment of 0.2 mM SMT solution as function of the anode material and the current density at treatment times of 2 and 6 h.

Anode	TOC removal (%) at 2/6 h			
I (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Pt	DSA	BDD	GF
2.08				33.6/68.2
4.16	35.5/69.6	25.5/62.2	57.2/91.9	44.4/70.2
12.50	55.9/83.9	34.1/71.1	76.4/96.8	
20.83	41.5/90.3	41.9/76.1	84.7/96.9	
29.16	61.4/81.7	34.8/75.2	88.2/97.4	
41.66	54.7/75.8	26.3/46.1	90.1/98.5	

#### Table 4

TOC removal percentages during the anodic oxidation of 0.2 mM SMT solution as a function of anode material and current density.

Anode	TOC removal (%) at 2/6 h			
$I(mA cm^{-2})$	Pt	DSA	BDD	GF <sup>1</sup>
4.16	12.5/15.8	5.2/8.3	48.7/88.1	_
20.83	25.7/36.8	9.6/10.8	69.4/94.6	_
41.66	24.0/41.4	9.8/9.8	80.9/97.	_

<sup>1</sup> TOC could not be correctly measured because of carbon released from the cathode during long time electrolysis.

## **Energy consumption for the mineralization of SMT**



EC (kWh (g TOC)<sup>-1</sup> = 
$$\frac{E_{cell} I t}{(\Delta(COD)_t V_s)}$$

 $E_{cell}$  is the average cell voltage (V), *I* is the applied current (A), *t* is the electrolysis time (h), V<sub>s</sub> is the solution volume (L) and ( $\Delta$ TOC)<sub>t</sub> is the TOC decay (g of C per L<sup>-1</sup>) at time t.

## **Determination and evolution of carboxylic acids during electro-Fenton treatment of SMT**



- 0

t/h

Vs = 300 mL

#### **Evolution of inorganic ions during the mineralization of SMT**





**Fig. 12.** Time course of inorganic ions formed during electro-Fenton treatment of SMT with electrolytic cells: (a) Pt/GF, (b) DSA/GF and (c) BDD/GF. For NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>:  $V_s - 300 \text{ mL}$ , [SMT]<sub>0</sub> - 0.2 mM, [Fe<sup>2+</sup>] - 0.2 mM, [Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>] - 50 mM, *I* - 20.83 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, pH - 3. For NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>:  $V_s - 300 \text{ mL}$ , [SMT] - 0.2 mM, [Fe<sup>2+</sup>] - 0.2 mM, [K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>] - 50 mM, *I* - 20.83 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, pH - 3. For SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>:  $V_s - 300 \text{ mL}$ , [SMT] - 0.2 mM, [Fe<sup>2+</sup>] - 0.2 mM, [NaCl] - 50 mM, *I* - 20.83 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, pH - 3. The green curve (- $\phi$ -) represents the evolution of solution TOC during treatment. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.).

Impact of anode materials on the electrochemical oxidation and degradation of amoxicillin AMX



**Tested anodes:** Pt, DSA, DBB, PbO2, GF, carbon fiber

F. Sopaj, M. A. Rodrigo, N. Oturan, F. I. Podvorica, J. Pinson, M. A. Oturan. Chem. Eng. Journal, 2015, 262, 286-294.

## Effect of current density (mA/cm2) on the oxidation of AMX for DSA and BDD anodes



F. Sopaj, M. A. Rodrigo, N. Oturan, F. I. Podvorica, J. Pinson, M. A. Oturan. Chem. Eng. Journal, 2015, 262, 286-294.

# Effect of current density on the mineralization of AMX $C_{16}H_{19}N_3O_5S + 40 H_2O \rightarrow 16 CO_2 + 3NO_3^- + SO_4^{-2-} + 99 H^+ + 94 e^-$



Fig. 3. Effect of current density on the mineralization of AMX with DSA ( $\bigcirc$ : 12.50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>;  $\square$ : 20.83 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>; and  $\triangle$ : 41.66 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>) and BDD anodes ( $\bigcirc$ : 12.50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>;  $\blacksquare$ : 20.83 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>; and  $\blacktriangle$ : 41.66 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>). (a) TOC changes vs. time and (b) TOC changes vs. electrical charge. Raw AMX solution: 0.1 mM AMX, 50 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, pH 5.3. Room temperature.

Effect of the current density on the rate of mineralization of AMX with anodes



**Current efficiency for mineralization** 

#### Performances of anodes

# High efficient anodes

# High overpotential for OER

- Efficient mineralization of pollutant
- EC reactions limited only by mass transfer of the pollutant
- Generation of power oxidant intermediates

BDD and PbO2 Graphite cold incineration

# Low efficient anodes

Low overpotention for OER

- Small conversion to CO2
- Slow oxidation rates
- Small current efficiencies

Pt,DSA, CF

## Effect of cathode material on the efficiency of Eelectro-Fenton process for the

degradation/mineralization of SMT

Used cathodes:

Carbon fiber Carbon felt, Carbon sponge of different porosities Stainless steel

F. Sopaj, N. Oturan, J. Pinson, F. Podvorica, M. A. Oturan. submitted

Evolution of the concentration hydrogen peroxide in the cells  $O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2O_2$ 



Continuous compressed air bubbling.

 $V_{s} = 250 \text{ mL},$ 

 $[Na_2SO_4] = 50 \text{ mM},$ 

**pH = 3.** 

Parasitic reactions  $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2$   $H_2O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O$   $H_2O_2 \rightarrow HO_2^{\bullet} + H^+ + e^ 2H_2O_2 \rightarrow O_2 + 2H_2O$ 



#### Best performance cell for EF: Carbon sponge 45ppi – BDD

**Effect of current intensity** 









Mineralization current efficiency

**Carbon sponge (open-pore honeycomb structure)** 



SEM micrograph of a 60 ppi (nominal pores per linear inch) reticulated vitreous carbon (RVC) sample

Friedrich et al. J. Electroanal. Chem. 2004, 561, 203.

## CONCLUSION

# **Advantages**

- Electro-Fenton is a very efficient method for the degradation and full mineralization of POPs
- Easy to use and easy control of the degradation kinetics
- Nonuse of harmful of chemical reagents
- Total mineralization by optimizing the operating parameters: current density, solution pH, catalyst concentration
- Eco-friendly process: in situ generation of H2O2
- Anode and cathode materials plays a crucial role in the EAOPs
- Anodes with high over potential for OER allow to generate supplementary hydroxyl radical (M(•OH))
- Cathodes with high over potential for HER enhance the production of H2O2 and the regeneration of iron ions

# - Drawbacks

- Release of inorganic ions during mineralization of POPs containing heteroatoms and the use of supporting electrolyte
- Use of acid solution for the control of pH
- High price of BDD electrode
- Formation of recalcitrant byproducts as intermediates
- Perspectives
- Use of hybrid AOPs
- Use of renewable energy sources together with electrochemical treatments
- Development of new materials with exciting properties must be widely explored (e.g. Ti4O7 anode)

# Acknowledgments

Prof. M. Oturan, Universite Paris-Est, Marne la Vallee, France

Dr. F. Sopaj, University of Prishtina, Kosovo

Thank you very much for your attention