WATER MICROPOLLUTANTS: FROM DETECTION TO REMOVAL – 26-28 NOV 2018

ASSESSING THE PERFORMANCES OF SENSORS AND DEVICES FOR WATER QUALITY MONITORING: WHY AND HOW?

DR NATHALIE GUIGUES



WHY DO WE NEED TO ASSESS THE PERFORMANCES OF SENSORS?



WHY?

From a sensor developper point of view

- > To optimise and improve the sensor / device
- To check that the sensor / device is fit for purpose for its intended application





WHY?

From an end user point of view

- > To have confidence in the performances stated by the developper
- To select the most appropriate sensor / device with regard to the monitoring objective





WHICH PERFORMANCES ARE TO BE ASSESSED?

It will depend on:

- > The nature of the property to be measured :
 - Quantitative
 - Qualitative
- The type of parameter(s) to be measured:
 - Physico-chemical (including micropolluants)
 - Microbiological
 - Ecotox
 - Others?







Example : quantitative response / physico-chemical parameter

Metrological performances:

Fidelity (e.g. repeatability),

— Bias,

Sensitivity (e.g. slope of a linear model),

Limit of detection or quantification

Measurement uncertainty



And also:

8

Physical, biological or chemical interferences

Response time



Other performances of interest:

- **—** Sample temperature,
- **—** Sample flow rate
- **—** Drift (continuous device)
- Warm up time (portable device)
- Wariation in supply voltage
- Etc.



Contribution to the measurement uncertainty



HOW CAN WE ASSESS THESE PERFORMANCES?

Existence of various protocols:

- **US EPA Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) program**
- Alliance for Coastal technologies
- UK certification scheme MCERT
- **Standardization (ISO and CEN)**

US EPA ETV – Environmental Technology Verification

Program started in 1995 and ended in 2014

- Immunoassay Test Kits for Atrazine 2004
- Multi-Parameter Water Quality Probes 2002
- Arsenic Test Kits 2000
- Lead in Drinking Water 2012
- Mitrate Sensors for Ground Water Monitoring 2010
- Etc.

https://archive.epa.gov/nrmrl/archive-etv/web/html/vt-ams.html



US EPA ETV

Exemple for: Immunoassay Test Kits for Atrazine

		Replicates	Total No. laboratory		
Type of sample	Description	for test kit	reference	Performance Factor ¹	
Onality Control					
Reagent blanks (10%)	minimum 10% frequency	20	1	False positive/negative	
Calibration check samples	As required by the test kit protocol	TBD	0		
Performance Test					
Performance test #1	0.1 ppb atrazine	3	1		
Performance test #2	0.5 ppb atrazine	3	1	Accuracy, precision,	
Performance test #3	1 ppb atrazine	3	1	linearity, false	
Performance test #4	3 ppb atrazine	3	1	positive/negative	
Performance test #5	5 ppb atrazine	3	1	1	
Method detection limit	Atrazine concentration 2X vendor-stated detection limit	7	-	Method detection limit	
Cross-reactivity test #1	3 ppb hydroxyatrazine	3	1	Cross-reactivity, false	
Cross-reactivity test #2	3 ppb desethyl atrazine	3	1	positive/negative	
Environmental					
Fresh water	Fresh surface water, unspiked	3	1	-	
Fresh water spike #1	Fresh surface water with 1 ppb atrazine spike	3	1		
Fresh water spike #2	Fresh surface water with 3 ppb atrazine spike	3	1		
Brackish water	Brackish water, unspiked	3	1		
Brackish water spike #1	Brackish water with 1 ppb atrazine spike	3	1		
Brackish water spike #2	Brackish water with 3 ppb atrazine spike	3	1	Accuracy, precision, matrix	
				effects, false	
Groundwater	Groundwater, unspiked	3	1	positive/negative	
Groundwater spike #1	Groundwater with 1 ppb atrazine spike	3			
Groundwater spike #2	Groundwater with 3 ppb atrazine spike	3	1	4	
Transferd deighting system	Obtavianted deinbias meter	2		1	
Treated drinking water	Chlorinated drinking water Chlorinated drinking water with Loob spike	3		1	
Treated drinking water spike #1	Chlorinated drinking water with 3 pob spike	3		4	
Deferment Evaluation Sec-1	Chromaned drinking water with 5 ppb an azine spike	3	1		
Performance Evaluation Sample		-	1	-	
Total		84	21	-	

Table 1. Sample Summary for Verification of Test Kits for Atrazine in Water

¹Other performance factors to be evaluated qualitatively include ease of use and reliability.



US Alliance for Coastal Technology (ACT)

On going program

- In situ probes or in situ analysers
- Estuarine and lake application
- **—** List of parameters with evaluation performed or on going:

HYDROCARBON	<u>рН</u>	DISSOLVED OXYGEN II
<u>pC02</u>	<u>SALINITY</u>	NUTRIENT
TURBIDITY	FLUOROMETER	DISSOLVED OXYGEN
ALGAL TOXINS	FLUOROMETER II	NUTRIENT II

http://www.act-us.info/evaluations.php



US Alliance for Coastal Technology (ACT)

Exemple for nutrients

- Bias and fidelity over working range (laboratory tests)
- Temperature, salinity, turbidity and DOC influences (laboratory tests)
- Completeness of data return under varying field deployment lengths 3 sites

Report code	Detailed Protocols used for Verification Testing of Next-Generation Nutrient Sensors are available for download here
ACT VS17-01	Performance Verification Statement for the Systea WIZ Probe Nitrate Analyzer
ACT VS17-02	Performance Verification Statement for the Systea WIZ Probe Phosphate Analyzer
ACT VS17-03	Performance Verification Statement for the NOC Nitrate Analyzer
ACT VS17-04	Performance Verification Statement for the NOC Phosphate Analyzer
ACT VS17-05	Performance Verification Statement for the Real Tech Real Nitrate Analyzer GL Series
ACT VS17-06	Performance Verification Statement for Sea-Bird Scientific HydroCycle-Phosphate Analyzer



UK EA MCERT certification scheme

UK Environmental Agency's scheme for monitoring emissions to air, land and water

MCERTS is used to approve instruments, people and laboratories

Water monitoring - performance standards and test procedures for:

- Continuous water monitoring equipment
- Portable water monitoring equipment
- > Automatic sampling equipment

Certification of equipments for pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, TOC

http://www.siraenvironmental.com/mcerts/ http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk/business/regulation/31829.aspx



International and European Standards

ISO 15839 (2005)

versus EN 17075 (to be published early 2019)

Characterization of new sensors / devices to establish technical specifications

Useful for manufacturers

Verification of manufacturer's claims Estimation of a measurement uncertainty

Useful for end users and third party evaluation

Continuous measuring devices

Continuous measuring devices Portable devices



European Standards: EN 17075

Water quality - General requirements and performance test procedures for water monitoring equipment - Measuring devices

Performances estimated in controlled conditions (in the lab) Performances estimated in real conditions (on site)

Estimate each individual performance Combined them to estimated the measurement uncertainty Demonstrate the sensor / device performance is maintained under representative operational conditions

At least 3 months field trial





CONCLUSION



Performances should be evaluated:

- In controlled conditions
- In real conditions, representative of the intended application, to demonstrate their applicability
- Using existing protocols (e.g. EN 17075)

Field testing

- Test in real conditions several sensors / devices targeting the same parameters
- Use existing plateforms

Third party assessment

- Impartiality
- Harmonised protocols

ETV (EU), ACT, MCERT etc.

— Statement (publication) of the performances



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

