Conformal correlators, Black Holes and Holography

Manuela Kulaxizi

Trinity College Dublin

03-06-2020

Introduction

The holographic principle or AdS/CFT correspondence states that certain QFTs, conformal field theories (or CFTs), have a completely equivalent description in terms of gravity in AdS space.

Objective: To deconstruct the holographic principle to learn more about gravity.

Questions:
Which theories have a holographic description?
What restrictions do physical consistency conditions impose?
Can we learn something about black holes?
......

Introduction

Holographic CFTs - generic assumptions for a gravity dual description.

The CFT has a stress-tensor operator $T_{\mu\nu}$ and two large paramaters:

1. Large number of degrees of freedom, c.

   At $c = \infty$ the CFT correlations functions factorize:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{c}(\cdots)$$

2. A characteristic scale Δ_{gap}.

   When $\Delta_{gap} = \infty$ the CFT contains only a finite number of primary single-trace operators with spin $j \leq 2$.

Introduction

- "single-trace" primaries: $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \cdots, J^\mu, \cdots, T^{\mu\nu}$.
- "double-trace" primaries:

$$M_2 : \mathcal{O}_1 \partial_{\mu_1 \ldots} \partial_{\mu_\ell} (\partial^2)^n \mathcal{O}_2, \quad \mathcal{O}_1 \partial_{\mu_1 \ldots} \partial_{\mu_\ell} (\partial^2)^n J^\mu, \cdots$$

- "multi-trace" primaries:

$$M_{n>2} : \mathcal{O}_1 \partial_{\mu_1 \ldots} \partial_{\mu_a} (\partial^2)^n \mathcal{O}_2 \partial_{\mu_1 \ldots} \partial_{\mu_b} (\partial^2)^m \mathcal{O}_1 \partial_{\mu_1 \ldots} \partial_{\mu_c} (\partial^2)^k J^\mu, \cdots$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle \sim 1 + \cdots, \qquad \langle M_2 M_2 \rangle \sim 1 + \cdots$$

$$\left\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 M_2^{\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2} \right\rangle \sim 1 + \cdots, \qquad \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_1 M_2 \rangle \sim \frac{1}{c} + \cdots,$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \, T \rangle \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} + \cdots$$

Introduction

Progress:

- The study of the crossing equation reveals the structure of a local gravity theory.
- Unitarity (causality) imply that Einstein's theory of general relativity is the only consistent description.
- . . . .

Can we study physics between $\frac{1}{c}$ *?*

- Studying loop diagrams in gravity via CFT techniques in specific theories.

Introduction

- What about generic Holographic CFTs?

A class of operators present in generic CFTs

$$T_{\mu\nu} \qquad t = d-2, s = 2$$

$$: T_{\mu_1\mu_2}\partial_{\mu_5}\partial_{\mu_6}\cdots\partial_{\mu_s}\partial^{2n}T_{\mu_3\mu_4} : \qquad t = 2(d-2)+2n, \quad s \geq 4$$

$$\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots$$

$$\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots$$

$$: T_{\mu_1\mu_2}T_{\mu_3\mu_4}\cdots\partial_{\mu_{2k+1}}\partial_{\mu_{2k+2}}\cdots\partial_{\mu_s}\partial^{2n}T_{\mu_{2k-1}\mu_{2k}} : \quad t = 2(d-k)+2n, \quad s \geq 2k$$

Introduction

Objective: To extract the OPE data of these operators to leading order in $1/c$.

Simplest way: to consider a four-point function of two "heavy" operators $\mathcal{O}_H$, with $\Delta_H \sim \mathcal{O}(c)$ and two "light" operators $\mathcal{O}_L$, with $\Delta_L \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_H(\infty)\mathcal{O}_L(1)\mathcal{O}_L(z,\bar{z})\mathcal{O}_H(0)\rangle$$

$$\frac{\Delta_H}{c} = \text{fixed} \qquad \text{when} \qquad c \to \infty.$$

We will shortly see why this is useful.

Introduction

An added benefit of studying the HHLL correlator

In the thermodynamic limit we expect that:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_H(\infty)\mathcal{O}_L(1)\mathcal{O}_L(z,\bar{z})\mathcal{O}_H(0)\rangle \sim \langle \mathcal{O}_L(1)\mathcal{O}_L(z,\bar{z})\rangle_T$$

Via the AdS/CFT dictionary the thermal two-point function can be obtained from the study of fluctuations around a black hole geometry.

In the dual gravitational description (e.g. $d = 4$):

$$\mu \equiv \frac{r_H^2}{R_{AdS}^2} = \frac{M_{BH}\ell_p^3}{R_{AdS}^3} = (M_{BH}R_{AdS})\frac{\ell_p^3}{R_{AdS}^3} \sim \frac{\Delta_H}{c}$$

Introduction

$\mathcal{O}(\mu^0)$



$\mathcal{O}(\mu)$



$\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$





Introduction

The correlator

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_H(\infty)\mathcal{O}_L(1)\mathcal{O}_L(z,\bar{z})\mathcal{O}_H(0)\rangle \sim \langle \mathcal{O}_L(1)\mathcal{O}_L(z,\bar{z})\rangle_T$$

can be studied analytically in the following regimes:

- Regge/eikonal limit

$$z \to z\, e^{2\pi i}, \quad (z,\bar{z}) \to (1,1) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1-z}{1-\bar{z}} = \textit{fixed},$$

[MK, Ng, Parnachev][Karlsson, MK, Parnachev, Tadic][Fitzpatrick,Huang, Li][Karlsson]

- Lightcone limit

$$\bar{z} \to 1, \quad z \leq 1$$

[MK, Ng, Parnachev][Karlsson, MK, Parnachev, Tadic][Fitzpatrick, Huang][Li]

Outline

- HHLL correlator
- Results
- Summary and open questions

HHLL in the lightcone limit

Objective: Study the correlator by solving the crossing equation order by order in the parameter $\mu \equiv \frac{\Delta_H}{c}$ and in the lightcone limit $1-\bar{z} \ll 1$. Focus below on $d=4$.

$$\mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z}) = \lim_{x_4 \to \infty} x_4^{2\Delta_H} \langle \mathcal{O}_H(x_4)\mathcal{O}_L(1)\mathcal{O}_L(z,\bar{z})\mathcal{O}_H(0)\rangle = \frac{\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z})}{[(1-z)(1-\bar{z})]^{\Delta_L}}$$

Note: In effect the focus is on the stress-tensor sector of the correlator as will be clear later.

All multiple-stress tensors contribute equally when $\mu(1-\bar{z}) = \textit{fixed}$.

HHLL in the lightcone limit

Method: Establish the leading contributions by studying the correlator in both the T- and S- channels.

$$\mathcal{O}_L \times \mathcal{O}_L \to 1 + \mu(T_{\mu\nu} + \cdots) + \cdots \to \mathcal{O}_H \times \mathcal{O}_H, \quad \text{T-channel}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_H \times \mathcal{O}_L \to [\mathcal{O}_H \mathcal{O}_L]_{\ell,n} \to \mathcal{O}_H \times \mathcal{O}_L, \qquad \text{S-channel}$$

HHLL in the lightcone limit: T-channel

$$\mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{[(1-z)(1-\bar{z})]^{\Delta_L}} \sum_{t,s} P^{HHLL}_{t,s}\, g_{t,s}(1-z,1-\bar{z})$$

s=spin, $t=(\Delta - s)$=twist

In the lightcone limit, the T-channel blocks behave as follows:

$$g_{t,s}(1-z,1-\bar{z}) \simeq (1-\bar{z})^{\frac{t}{2}}\, f_{\frac{t}{2}+s}(z)$$

where

$$f_{\frac{t}{2}+s}(z) \equiv (1-z)^{\frac{t}{2}+s}\, {}_2F_1\left[\frac{t}{2}+s, \frac{t}{2}+s, t+2s, 1-z\right]$$

Operators with lowest twist dominate the sum.

HHLL in the lightcone limit: T-channel

- Lowest twist $t = 0$ corresponds to the Identity operator, responsible for the disconnected contribution to the correlator $\langle \mathcal{O}_H \mathcal{O}_H \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_L \mathcal{O}_L \rangle$.
- In the absence of additional symmetries, $T_{\mu\nu}$ with $t = 2$, $s = 2$ provides the next significant contribution.

$$P^{HHLL}_{2,2} \, (1 - \bar{z}) \, f_3(z)$$

with OPE coefficients completely determined from a Ward Identity

$$P^{HHLL}_{2,2} = \# \frac{\Delta_H}{c} \frac{\Delta_L}{4} = \# \mu \, \frac{\Delta_L}{4}$$

In the absence of extra symmetries, the OPE coefficients of scalars with $1 < t \leq 2$ are not expected to be enhanced by powers of μ.

HHLL in the lightcone limit: T-channel

The correlator admits an expansion in powers of μ.

$$P_{t,s}^{HHLL} = \sum_k P_{t,s}^{(k)} \mu^k$$

In the T-channel the contribution of composite stress-tensor exchanges is enhanced due to Δ_H as opposed to that of other operators suppressed in the $\frac{1}{c}$ expansion. New operators contribute at each order.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{O}(\mu) & T_{\mu\nu} & t=2 \\
\mathcal{O}(\mu^2) & :T_{\mu_1\mu_2}\partial_{\mu_5}\partial_{\mu_6}\cdots\partial_{\mu_s}T_{\mu_3\mu_4}: & t=4 \\
 & \cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots & \\
\mathcal{O}(\mu^k) & :T_{\mu_1\mu_2}T_{\mu_3\mu_4}\cdots\partial_{\mu_{2k+1}}\partial_{\mu_{2k+2}}\cdots\partial_{\mu_s}T_{\mu_{2k-1}\mu_{2k}}: & t=2k
\end{array}$$

HHLL in the lightcone limit: T-channel

Evaluating the leading contribution to the correlator to each order in μ requires summing over the contributions of an infinite number of operators.

$\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$:

A handful of OPE coefficients $P_{4,s}$ were computed holographically

$$P_{4,s} = \frac{\Delta_L}{\Delta_L - 2} a_s^2 (\Delta_L^2 + b_s \Delta_L + c_s)\,.$$

- What are the functions a_s, b_s, c_s?
- Can we evaluate the sums,

$$\sum_{s=4}^{\infty} P_{4,s}\, f_{2+s}(z) = ?$$

HHLL in the lightcone limit:T-channel

We find the explicit form of the $P_{4,s}$ by combining their form with:

- Geodesic computation at large Δ_L.

$$\lim_{\Delta_L\to\infty}\langle\mathcal{O}_H|\mathcal{O}_L\mathcal{O}_L|\mathcal{O}_H\rangle \simeq e^{-\Delta_L\sigma(0)}\times$$
$$\times\left(1-\Delta_L\mu\sigma_{(1)}+\mu^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{(1)}^2\Delta_L^2+\mathcal{O}(\Delta_L)\right)+\mathcal{O}(\mu^3)\right)$$

$$T:\mu\,\Delta_L\,f_3(z)\quad\Rightarrow\quad \mu^2\Delta_L^2\sum_{s=4}^{\infty}a_s^2 f_{2+s}(z)=f_3(z)^2$$

- Identity for product of hypergeometrics.
- Information from the S-channel computation.

OPE coefficients of double-stress-tensors

For any $\Delta_L \neq 2$,

$$C_{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}[TT]_{0,s}} \sim \frac{160}{3}\frac{1}{c}\frac{\Delta}{\Delta-2} a_s \left[\Delta^2 + b_s\Delta + c_s\right] + O(1/c^2)\,,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_s &= -1 + \frac{36}{s(s+3)} + c_s \\ c_s &= \frac{288}{(s-2)s(s+3)(s+5)}\,. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$a_s^2 = \frac{(s-2)s(s+3)(s+5)(2s+3)}{8(s-3)(s-1)(s+1)(s+2)(s+4)(s+6)} \times \frac{\Gamma\left(s+2\right)^2}{\Gamma(2s+4)}\,.$$

HHLL in the lightcone limit - $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$ result

Performing the infinite sums

$$\mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z})\Big|_{\mu^2,\,\ell.c.} \propto \frac{1}{[(1-z)(1-\bar{z})]^{\Delta_L}}(1-\bar{z})^2 \times$$
$$\times\, \frac{\Delta_L}{\Delta_L-2}\left((\Delta_L-4)(\Delta_L-3){f_3}^2+\frac{15}{7}(\Delta_L-8)f_2 f_4+\frac{40}{7}(\Delta_L+1)f_1 f_5\right).$$

where

$$f_a(z)=(1-z)^a\,{}_2F_1[a,a,2a,1-z]$$

An observation: $3+3=2+4=1+5=6$

conformal spin: $\beta \equiv \frac{t}{2}+s=6$ for the lowest spin operator of the leading twist family : $T_{\mu\nu}T_{\rho\sigma}$:.

HHLL in the lightcone limit: S-channel

$$\mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z}) = (z\bar{z})^{-\frac{\Delta_H+\Delta_L}{2}} \sum_{\tau,\ell} P^{HL,HL}_{\tau,\ell} g^{\Delta_{HL}}_{\tau,\ell}(z,\bar{z})$$

The contribution to the correlator comes from corrections in μ to the mean field theory OPE data of operators

$$:\mathcal{O}_H \partial^{2n} \partial_{\mu_1} \cdots \partial_{\mu_\ell} \mathcal{O}_L :$$

$$\tau = \Delta_H + \Delta_L + 2n + \gamma_{n,\ell}(\mu),$$

$$\gamma_{n,\ell} = \sum \mu^k \gamma^{(k)}_{n,\ell}, \qquad P^{HL,HL}_{n,\ell} = \sum \mu^k P^{HL,HL}_{n,\ell}$$

HHLL in the lightcone limit: S-channel

We analyse the S-channel in the lightcone limit and for $z << 1$.
The lightcone limit correponds to $\ell >> n$:

$$g_{\tau,\ell}^{\Delta_{HL}} \simeq (z\bar{z})^{\frac{\Delta_H+\Delta_L+\gamma_{n,\ell}}{2}}$$

$$P_\ell^{(k)} = P_\ell^{(0)} \frac{P^{(k)}}{\ell^{\frac{k(d-2)}{2}}}, \qquad P_\ell^{(0)} \sim \frac{\ell^{\Delta_L - 1}}{\Gamma(\Delta_L)} \qquad \gamma_\ell^{(k)} = \frac{\gamma^{(k)}}{\ell^{\frac{k(d-2)}{2}}}$$

At $\mathcal{O}(\mu^0)$ we verify the crossing equation:

$$\mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z})\Big|_{\mu^0} \simeq \int_0^\ell d\ell P_\ell \bar{x}^\ell = -(\ln \bar{z})^{\Delta_L} \quad \underset{\bar{z}\to 1\ z\to 0}{\simeq} \quad \frac{1}{(1-\bar{z})^{\Delta_L}}$$

HHLL in the lightcone limit: S-channel

At $\mathcal{O}(\mu)$:

$$\mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z})\Big|_{\mu} \underset{\bar{z}\to 1\, z\to 0}{\simeq} \frac{1}{(1-\bar{z})^{\Delta_L-1}}\left(\frac{P^{(1)}}{\Delta_L-1}+\frac{\gamma^{(1)}\ln z}{2(\Delta_L-1)}\right),$$

we determine the unknown data from the contribution of the stress-tensor in the T-channel expansion:

$$P^{(1)}=\frac{3}{2}\gamma^{(1)}, \qquad \gamma^{(1)}=-\frac{\Delta_L(\Delta_L-1)}{2}$$

This completely determines the $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2\ln^2 z)$ data and precisely matches the result from the T-channel expansion for $z<<1$

$$\mathcal{G}(z\bar{z})\Big|_{\mu^2} \simeq \frac{\Delta_L}{(1-\bar{z})^{\Delta_L-2}(\Delta_L-2)}\left[\frac{\Delta_L(\Delta_L-1)}{32}\ln^2 z+\frac{3\Delta_L^2-7\Delta_L-1}{16}\ln z\right]$$

HHLL in the lightcone limit: check

Check against the large impact parameter region in the Regge limit:

$$z = 1 - \sigma e^{\rho}, \quad \bar{z} = 1 - \sigma e^{-\rho}, \quad z \to z e^{-2\pi i}, \quad \sigma \ll 1$$

$$\mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z})\Big|_{\mu^2} \simeq \frac{1}{\sigma^{2\Delta_L}}\{\# \frac{\Delta_L(\Delta_L+1)(\Delta_L+2)}{\Delta_L - 2}\frac{e^{-2\rho}}{\sigma^2} + i\# \frac{\Delta_L(\Delta_L+1)}{\Delta_L-2}\frac{e^{-5\rho}}{\sigma} + \cdots\}$$

- Regge: first analytic continuation, then $\sigma \ll 1$, then large impact parameter $\rho \to \infty$
- From lightcone: first “large impact parameter” $\rho \to \infty$, then analytic continuation, then $\sigma \ll 1$.

HHLL in the lightcone limit - $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$ result

Performing the infinite sums

$$\mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z})\Big|_{\mu^2,\,\ell.c.} \propto \frac{1}{[(1-z)(1-\bar{z})]^{\Delta_L}}(1-\bar{z})^2\times$$
$$\times\frac{\Delta_L}{\Delta_L-2}\left((\Delta_L-4)(\Delta_L-3){f_3}^2+\frac{15}{7}(\Delta_L-8)f_2 f_4+\frac{40}{7}(\Delta_L+1)f_1 f_5\right).$$

where

$$f_a(z)=(1-z)^a\,{}_2F_1[a,a,2a,1-z]$$

An observation: $3+3=2+4=1+5=6$

conformal spin: $\beta\equiv\frac{t}{2}+s=6$ for the lowest spin operator of the leading twist family : $T_{\mu\nu}T_{\rho\sigma}$:.

HHLL in the lightcone limit - $\mathcal{O}(\mu^3)$ result

Example $\mathcal{O}(\mu^3)$:

$$\mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z})\Big|_{\mu^3} = \frac{(1-\bar{z})^3}{((1-z)(1-\bar{z}))^{\Delta_L}} \left\{ a_{333} f_3{}^3 + a_{112} f_1{}^2 f_7 + a_{126} f_1 f_2 f_6 + \right.$$
$$\left. + a_{135} f_1 f_3 f_5 + a_{225} f_2{}^2 f_5 + a_{234} f_2 f_3 f_4 + a_{114} f_1 f_4{}^2 \right\}$$

$$a_{333} = \frac{\Delta_L^5 + \cdots}{(\Delta_L - 2)(\Delta_L - 3)}, \quad a_{234}, a_{135} = \frac{\Delta_L^4 + \cdots}{(\Delta_L - 2)(\Delta_L - 3)},$$
$$a_{117}, a_{126}, a_{225} = \frac{\Delta_L^3 + \cdots}{(\Delta_L - 2)(\Delta_L - 3)}$$

- Products of f_a functions are not all independent of one another.
- $\Delta_L = 3 \qquad : \mathcal{O}_L \partial_{m_1} \cdots \partial_{m_s} \mathcal{O}_L :,$
  $\Delta_L = 2 \qquad : \mathcal{O}_L \partial_{m_1} \cdots \partial_{m_r} \mathcal{O}_L \partial_{m_{r+1}} \cdots \partial_{m_s} \mathcal{O}_L :$
  $\Delta_L = 2 \qquad : \mathcal{O}_L \partial_{m_1} \cdots \partial_{m_s} \partial^2 \mathcal{O}_L :, \quad : \mathcal{O}_L \partial_{m_1} \cdots \partial_{m_s} T_{rs} \mathcal{O}_L :$

The two-dimensional case.

The structure is very similar to the 2d Virasoro vacuum block

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_H | \mathcal{O}_L \mathcal{O}_L | \mathcal{O}_H \rangle \sim e^{\Delta_L g(z)} e^{\Delta_L g(\bar{z})}$$

$$g(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln z - \ln \left(2 \sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-\mu}}{2} \ln z \right) \right) + \ln \sqrt{1-\mu}$$

An earlier observation [MK, Ng, Parnachev]:

$$g(z) \sim -\ln(1-z) + \frac{\mu}{24} f_2(z) + \frac{\mu^2}{24^2} \left(-f_2^2 + \frac{6}{5} f_1 f_3 \right) + \\ + \frac{\mu^3}{24^3} \left(\frac{4}{3} f_2^3 - \frac{14}{5} f_1 f_2 f_3 + \frac{54}{35} f_1^2 f_4 \right) + \cdots$$

Further results - comments

Claim 1: The stress-tensor sector of the HHLL correlator is

$$\mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z}) = \sum \mathcal{G}^{(k)}(z,\bar{z})\mu^k$$

with

$$\mathcal{G}^{(k)}(z,\bar{z}) \underset{\bar{z}\to 1}{\approx} \frac{(1-\bar{z})^{k(\frac{d}{2}-1)}}{[(1-z)(1-\bar{z})]^{\Delta_L}} \sum_{\{i_p\}} a_{i_1\ldots i_k} f_{i_1}(z)\ldots f_{i_k}(z),$$

where

$$\sum_{p=1}^{k} i_p = k\left(\frac{d+2}{2}\right), \quad i_p \in \mathbb{N}$$

and $a_{i_1\cdots i_k}$ are rational functions of Δ_L.

Further results - comments

Claim 2: The correlator exponentiates similarly to what happens in two dimensions.

$$\mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z}) = [(1-z)(1-\bar{z})]^{-\Delta_L}\, e^{\Delta_L \mathcal{F}(z,\bar{z})}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(z,\bar{z}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^k (1-\bar{z})^k \mathcal{F}_k(z), \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{F}_k(z) \simeq_{\Delta_L \to \infty} \mathcal{O}(1)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_k(z)$ is again given by products of f_a functions with coefficients depending on Δ_L (in contrast to the two-dimensional case).

Further results - Comments

- We have shown that this solves the crossing equation in principle in several even dimensions d.
  All $\log^k z$-terms can be determined from the S-channel expansion in terms of OPE data of $\mathcal{O}(\mu^k)$.
- Have computed OPE coefficients with the Lorentzian inversion formula (up to $\mathcal{O}(\mu^3)$).

  [Li][Karlsson, MK, Parnachev, Tadic]

- Explicitly determined the relevant coefficients $a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k}$ to $\mathcal{O}(\mu^6)$.
- We have also determined the relevant OPE coefficients (e.g. triple stress-tensors).
- Established exponentiation to $\mathcal{O}(\mu^6)$.

What about odd dimensions d?

No similar structure.

Further results - comments

- Beyond the lightcone limit?

Include the contribution of operators with subleading twists

$$\mathcal{O}(\mu^2) \quad : T_{\mu_1\mu_2}\partial_{\mu_5}\partial_{\mu_6}\cdots\partial_{\mu_s}T_{\mu_3\mu_4} : \quad + \quad \text{contractions.}$$

Example with single contraction

$$: T_{\mu_1\mu_2}\partial_{\mu_4}\partial_{\mu_5}\cdots\partial_{\mu_s}T^{\mu_2}{}_{\mu_3} : \quad t = 6$$

$$: T_{\mu_1\mu_2}\partial_{\mu_5}\partial_{\mu_6}\cdots\partial_{\mu_s}\partial^2 T^{\mu_3\mu_4} : \quad t = 6$$

Similar structure persists up to sub-sub-subleading order in the lightcone limit. [Karlsson, MK, Parnachev, Tadic]

Bootstrap+ansatz determines the OPE data of all multi-stress tensors except those of spin $s = 0, 2$.

Further results - comments

Example: Contribution of the stress-tensor sector subleading in the lightcone limit at $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$. Includes $t = 4, 6$ multi stress-tensors.

$$\mathcal{G}^{(2,1)}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{\Delta_L}}\Big[\frac{3-z}{2(1-z)}\left(a_{33}f_3(z)^2 + a_{24}f_2(z)f_4(z) + a_{15}f_1(z)f_5(z)\right) + \left(b_{14}f_1(z)f_4(z) + c_{16}f_1(z)f_6(z) + c_{25}f_2(z)f_5(z) + c_{34}f_3(z)f_4(z)\right)\Big],$$

- Coefficients a_{mn} same as for leading twist at $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$,
- Coefficients c_{mn} explicitly computed and universal (depend on c, Δ_L). Two families, two lowest spin operators of $\beta = 5, 7$.
- b_{14} is non-universal and generically depends on the details of the theory. It corresponds to the OPE coefficient of $t = 6$ double-stress tensor with spin $s = 2$.

Open Questions

- What underlies this structure?
- Can we resum the series as in 2d?
- What if Δ_L is an integer?

- Address the physics close to the horizon.
- Quasi-normal modes.
- OPE data beyond leading order in $\frac{1}{c}$.

Thank you !